

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<i>In re</i> the Application of:	Atty. Docket No.:	007412.00212
Steven Weinstein		
Serial No.: 10/625,752	Group Art Unit:	2423
Filed: July 23, 2003	Examiner:	Stokely-Collins, Jasmine
For: Combining Television Broadcast and Personalized/Interactive Information	Confirmation No.:	8134

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dear Sir:

This paper constitutes Applicants' Statement of the Substance of the Interview under MPEP § 713.04 with respect to the telephonic interview conducted on March 5, 2010.

Applicants' representative discussed with Examiners Stokely-Collins and Andrew Koenig differences between the pending claims and the cited prior art.

First, independent claims 1 and 16 recite the use of the same remote control to control both obtaining the interactive information and the television information, which is not shown in the cited prior art.

Second, the claims recite specific layouts of interactive and television portions that are not shown in the cited prior art.

Third, Applicants' representative pointed out that independent claim 28 was not rejected or otherwise addressed in the previous office action.

Finally, Applicant's representative pointed out that Nakano is not in the same field of art as the claimed invention.

Although no agreement was reached, the PTO agreed to reconsider the rejections in view of these distinctions for the next office action.

Respectfully submitted,
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: April 8, 2010

By: /Bradley C. Wright/

Bradley C. Wright
Registration No. 38,061

1100 13th Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20005-4051

Tel: 202.824.3000
Fax: 202.824.3001